

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/941,341	08/29/2001	Thomas J. Gretz	. 1507(ARL)	1507(ARL) 6894		
7	590 01/02/2002					
Auzville Jackson, Jr.			EXAMINER			
8652 Rio Gran Richmond, VA			NASRI, JA	NASRI, JAVAID H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2839	#5-		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

dy dy

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>"</del>			
		09/941,341	GRETZ, THOMAS J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Javaid Nasri	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAN	by be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	<b>)</b> .					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-5,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2001 is/are:	a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	, ,,	pproved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Appl	ication No				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.						
Attachment	(s)						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.00	1.00						

Art Unit: 2839

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Title

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the
- a) engaging the walls of an access hole in an electrical junction box.

  must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) In claim 1, line 1, delete "that".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2839

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a) Claim 6 recites the limitation "said viewing window" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661 has additional limitations for example "oval insert" which is in claims 2 and 3 of this application with additional limitation "threaded hole and screw" in claim 2 and "annular ridge" in claim 3. Therefore, claims 1 and 7 of this application are broader than the claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661.

Art Unit: 2839

8. Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661 has additional limitations for example "oval insert" which is in claims 2 and 3 of this application with additional limitation "threaded hole and screw" in claim 2 and "annular ridge" in claim 3. Therefore, claims 1, 7 and 8 of this application are broader than the claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 194,661.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 2-5, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Contact

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 703 308 5876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703 308 3119. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2839

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

JN.

December 26, 2001

Javaid Nasri

Patent Examiner